

Lecture Case Law~ Test 1

Chapter 2 Business and constitution

Commercial Speech. [*Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission*, 496 U.S. 91, 110 S.Ct. 2281, 110 L.Ed.2d 83 (1990)]

Commerce Clause. [*Rhodes v. Inland-Rome, Inc.*, 195 Ga.App. 39, 392 S.E.2d 270 (1990)]

Freedom of Speech. [*Holland v. City of Tacoma*, 90 Wash.App. 533, 954 P.2d 290 (1998)]

Equal Protection. [*Buzzetti v. City of New York*, 140 F.3d 134 (2d Cir. 1998)]

Equal Protection. [*Izquierdo Prieto v. Mercado Rosa*, 894 F.2d 467 (1st Cir. 1990)]

Freedom of Speech. [*Mainstream Loudoun v. Board of Trustees of the Loudoun County Library*, 7 F.Supp.2d 783 (1998)]

Due Process. [*Yurczyk v. Yellowstone County*, 2004 MT 3, 319 Mont. 169, 83 P.3d 266 (2004)]

Chapter 3 Business ethics

Consumer Welfare. [*Cozzi v. North Palos Elementary School District No. 117*, 232 Ill.App.3d 379, 597 N.E.2d 683, 173 Ill.Dec. 70(1992)]

Duty to Consumers. [*Campbell v. BIC Corp.*, 586 N.Y.S.2d 871 (Sup.Ct., Fulton City. 1992)]

Employment Relationships. [*Faverty v. McDonald's Restaurants of Oregon, Inc.*, 133 Or.App. 514, 892 P.2d 703 (1994)]

Consumer Welfare. [*Josue v. Isuzu Motors America, Inc.*, 87 Haw. 413, 958 P.2d 535 (1998)] **Ethical Conduct.** [*SEC v. Zandford*, 535 U.S. 813, 122 S.Ct. 1899, 153 L.Ed.2d 1 (2002)]

Chapter 4 Courts and alternative dispute resolution

Jurisdiction. [*Tart v. Prescott's Pharmacies, Inc.*, 118 N.C.App. 516, 456 S.E.2d 121 (1995)]

Jurisdiction. [*Sutton v. Smith*, 603 So.2d 693 (Fla.App. 1992)]

Arbitration. [*State v. Council 4, AFSCME*, 27 Conn.App. 635, 608 A.2d 718 (1992)]

Arbitration. [*Exxon Shipping Co. v. Exxon Seamen's Union*, 11 F.3d 1189 (3d Cir. 1993)]

Standing to Sue. [*Covington v. Jefferson County*, 358 F.3d 626 (9th Cir. 2004)]

Chapter 5 **Court procedures**

Jury Selection. [*United States v. Omoruyi*, 7 F.3d 880 (9th Cir. 1993)]

Discovery. [*Stout v. A. M. Sunrise Construction Co.*, 505 N.E.2d 500 (Ind.App. 1987)]

Motion for Summary Judgment. [*Sabo v. Peoples Restaurant*, 591 So.2d 907 (Fla. 1991)]

Motion for a New Trial. [*Hummel v. Gainesville Radiology Group, P.C.*, 205 Ga.App. 157, 421 S.E.2d 333 (1992)]

Motion to Dismiss. [*Martin v. New York State Department of Mental Hygiene*, 588 F.2d 371 (2d Cir. 1978)]